

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 3421 2315/SPRI.104359 10/616,637 07/10/2003 Antonio Nucci 12/04/2007 7590 EXAMINER SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. SONI, KETAN S 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100 2619 DELIVERY MODE MAIL DATE 12/04/2007 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/616,637	NUCCI ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ketan Soni	2619	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Huy Vu (SPE)</u> .	(3) Benjamin P. Tabor (Applicant's Representative).		
(2) <u>Ketan Soni (Examiner)</u> .	(4) <u>Jean Dickman (Applica</u>	nt's Representative).	
Date of Interview: 27 November 2007.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)⊡ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1- Proposed Amendment to Claim: 1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Askew et al. Patent # 5	<u> 182744</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	j)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed two proposed</u>	nature of what was agreed to amendments. Applicant will s	if an agreement was ubmit new Amendments.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that v	reed would render the claims vould render the claims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CONTROL OF THE INTERVIEW	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT' ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO	
•			
	•	•	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required 12/3/0

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

TO KETAN SONI PHONE (571) 270-1782

FAX (571) 270-2782

FROM BEN TABOR IT# 17119 **MATTER#** SPRI,104359

APPLICATION # 10/616,637

Benjamin P. Tabor

Pages Transmitted: 3

DATE **NOVEMBER 20, 2007**

2555 Grand Blvd. Kansas City Missouri 64108-2613 816.474.6550 816.559.2136 DD 816.421.5547 Fax btabor@shb.com

Original Document If you experience any problems, please call 816.474.6550 extension 11012.

TOPICS OF DISCUSSION DURING INTERVIEW (No time established yet.)

- a. Discuss the § 103 rejection
 - Discuss the embodiments of the claimed invention
 - 1) Priority Order
 - 2) Volume of Flow
 - 3) Predetermined Priority of Connection
 - ii. Discuss the disclosure of the Askew et al. reference (col. 9, ll. 45-55)
 - 1) "Determines the priorities of various types of traffic"
 - 2) Order of transferring is passed on the priority, not which logical links are identified (col. 10, ll. 5-15)

Proposed Amendment to Claim 1:

One or more computer-storage media having computer-useable instructions embodied thereon for performing a method for identifying optimal mapping of logical links to the physical topology of a network, the method comprising:

obtaining one or more mapping options for mapping multiple logical links between two or more pairs of network nodes onto physical paths that are at least relatively disjoint;

obtaining a priority order of the network node pairs, the priority order derived from a volume of flow of network traffic carried between the network node pairs; and

correlating the mapping options with the priority order of the network nodes to identify optimal mapping of logical links to the physical topology of a network.

Or, consider the following proposed amendments.

Houston Washington, D.C.

Geneva

Kansas City London Mlami Orange County San Francisco Tampa

Confidentiality Notice: The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally privileged. The information is incended only for the use of the individual(s) or entry(ies) named above. If you are not the which is also provided information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.

11/20/2007

Page 2

One or more computer-storage media having computer-useable instructions embodied thereon for performing a method for identifying optimal mapping of logical links to the physical topology of a network, the method comprising:

obtaining one or more mapping options for mapping multiple logical links between two or more pairs of network nodes onto physical paths that are at least relatively disjoint;

obtaining a priority order of the network node pairs, the priority order derived from network traffic a predetermined priority of a connection supported by each of carried between the network node pairs, wherein the predetermined priority is based on which geographic locations are linked by the connection;

correlating the mapping options with the priority order of the network nodes to identify optimal mapping of logical links to the physical topology of a network.

Please understand that the listed modifications to the claims above are *proposed* amendments for discussion purposes only. These modifications do not reflect the Applicant's intent to alter the scope of the claims in the manner specifically depicted, and should not be considered as such.

Regards,

Ben Tabor (PTO Registration No. 60,741)

PTOL-413A (09-06)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form			
pplication No.: 10/616, 637 xaminer: SAN KETAN First Named Application Art Unit: 26/9	nt: Antonio Status of App	Nucci dication: Pe	nelins
entative Participants:)	CHMAN		
(4)			•
roposed Date of Interview: 26-NOV-07	Proposed Ti	ime: Augtin	E (AM/PM)
ype of Interview Requested: Yesonal (3) Video	o Conference	besides	1-2:30 m
xhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES yes, provide brief description:			_
Issues To Be Dis	scussed		
ssues Claims/ Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
) 6103(a) 1 Art Askew	[]	[]	[]
2)	[]	[]	[]
3)	[]	[]	[]
1)	[]	[]	[]
Please Securitaries See S.			
An interview was conducted on the above-identified appli (OTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submose MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of application. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of a soon as possible.	sitted to the exami cant's failure to s	ner in advance ubmit a written	record of this

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Potent and Tratemark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

To THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Ketan Soni Fren Tabor

PHONE

FAX 571-270-2782 2555 Grand Blvd.

Kansas City Missouri 64108-2613

816.474.6550

IT#

MATTER# SPR1.104359

Pages Transmitted

Original Document

If you experience any problems, please call 816/474.6550, extension 1155

COMMENTS:



Geneva Houston Kansas City London Miami New Orleans Orange County Overland Park San Francisco Tampa Washington, D.C.

Confidentiality Notice: The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission contain confidential information belonging to the scader which is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ics) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are knowly notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the commerce of this telecopyled information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us.

1361869v1